## Effect of Large Vacancy Thermal Expansion on the Pressure Variation of Activation Enthalpy in Pure Metals\*

Lalit C. Chhabildas and H. Michael Gilder Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York 12181 (Received 26 July 1971)

The pressure derivative of the activation enthalpy for diffusion,  $(\partial \Delta H/\partial p)_T$ , is a function of the thermal coefficient of expansion  $\alpha_v$  of an activated vacancy, where  $\alpha_v = (1/\Delta V)$  $(\partial \Delta V/\partial T)_p$  and  $\Delta V$  is the vacancy activation volume. Recent measurements of  $\alpha_v$  for zinc and cadmium indicate that  $\alpha_v >> \alpha_0$ , where  $\sigma_0$  is the ideal lattice thermal-expansion coefficient. The magnitude and functional form of  $\alpha_v$  indicate a value of  $(\partial \Delta H/\partial p)_T << \Delta V$ , contrary to previous estimates of  $(\partial \Delta H/\partial p)_T \approx \Delta V$  based on  $\alpha_v = \alpha_0$ .

Prior to recent precision measurements of the temperature dependence of the activation volume in zinc<sup>1</sup> and cadmium,<sup>2</sup> hence the thermal-expansion coefficient of vacancies in these metals, it had been supposed<sup>3-5</sup> that a vacancy expands at the same rate as the undisturbed portion of the host lattice in which it is embedded. In fact, for zinc and cadmium,  $\alpha_v/\alpha_0 \sim 10$ , where  $\alpha_v$  is the thermal-expansion coefficient of the vacancy and  $\alpha_0$  is that of the undisturbed lattice of volume  $V_0$ . As will be shown below, the assumption that  $\alpha_v = \alpha_0$  inevitably precludes the possibility of the activation enthalpy for diffusion  $\Delta H$  being independent of pressure, and, in fact, leads to the generally held belief that  $(\partial \Delta H / \partial p)_T \approx \Delta V > 0$ , where  $\Delta V$  is the vacancy activation volume. It is the purpose of this communication to indicate the profound effect of both  $\alpha_v \gg \alpha_0$  and the functional form of  $\Delta V(T)$ on the value of  $(\partial \Delta H / \partial p)_T$ .

From thermodynamics we know that

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta V = \left(\frac{\partial \Delta G}{\partial p}\right)_T \quad , \tag{2}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial T}\right)_{p} = -\left(\frac{\partial \Delta S}{\partial p}\right)_{T} \quad . \tag{3}$$

 $\Delta G$  and  $\Delta S$  are the changes in Gibbs free energy and entropy, respectively, associated with the formation and motion of the diffusing defect. Differentiating Eq. (1) with respect to pressure p at constant temperature T, and making use of Eqs. (2) and (3), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial p}\right)_{T} = \Delta V - T \left(\frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial T}\right)_{p} \quad . \tag{4}$$

At this point many authors<sup>6-9</sup> make use of the assumption that  $\alpha_{v} = \alpha_{0}$ , i.e., that

$$\alpha_{p} = (1/\Delta V)(\partial \Delta V/\partial T)_{p} = \alpha_{0} = (1/V_{0})(\partial V_{0}/\partial T)_{p}$$

Hence, Eq. (4) takes the form

$$(\partial \Delta H/\partial p)_T = \Delta V (1 - \alpha_0 T) \quad . \tag{5}$$

Since for most metals<sup>10</sup>  $10^{-2} < \alpha_0 T < 10^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha_0 T \ll 1$ , and Eq. (5) would predict  $(\partial \Delta H / \partial p)_T \approx \Delta V$ . Of course Eq. (5) is

incorrect because  $\alpha_v \neq \alpha_0$ ; Eq. (5) should obviously be replaced by

$$\left(\frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial p}\right)_T = \Delta V (1 - \alpha_v T) \quad . \tag{6}$$

It should be emphasized that Eq. (6) is an exact relation, whereas Eq. (5) is obviously not.

From the high-pressure self-diffusion data for zinc<sup>1</sup> and cadmium, <sup>2</sup> it is found that  $\Delta V = AT$ , where A is a constant for T well above the Debye temperature. Under these conditions  $\alpha_v = T^{-1}$ , and according to Eq. (6),  $(\partial \Delta H/\partial p)_T = 0$ . Indeed, the slopes of the isobars of  $\ln D$  vs 1/T are equal, to within the experimental uncertainty of  $\pm 0.3$  kcal/mole, for zinc and cadmium. In those experiments<sup>9,11-14</sup> where a greater variation of enthalpy with pressure is indicated, the experimental uncertainty in  $\Delta H(p)$  is nevertheless large enough to also be consistent with  $(\partial \Delta H/\partial p)_T \approx 0$ .

As the temperature of the solid is lowered,  $(\partial \Delta S/\partial p)_T$ decreases, i. e., according to the third law of thermodynamics,  $(\partial \Delta S/\partial p)_T$  must vanish as T approaches 0 °K. Hence, as the temperature of the solid is lowered well below the Debye temperature, the thermal-expansion coefficient of the vacancy is reduced to such an extent that the quantity  $\alpha_v T$  can indeed become small compared to unity. Thus, in the region where the activation volume  $\Delta V$  has saturated, the quantity  $(\partial \Delta H/\partial p)_T$  attains a maximum value of  $\Delta V(0)$ . Such a variation of  $\Delta H$ with p would indeed be extremely difficult to observe, in view of the low temperatures at which diffusion would have to be measured.

- \*Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. <sup>1</sup>H. M. Gilder and L. C. Chhabildas, Phys. Rev. Letters 26, 1027 (1971).
- <sup>2</sup>B. J. Buescher and H. M. Gilder, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 16, 340 (1971).
- <sup>3</sup>L. M. Levinson and F. R. N. Nabarro, Acta Met. 15, 785 (1967).
- <sup>4</sup>L. A. Girifalco, Scripta Met. 1, 5 (1967).
- <sup>5</sup>A. S. Nowick and G. J. Dienes, Phys. Status Solidi 24, 461 (1967).
- <sup>6</sup>R. H. Dickerson, R. C. Lowell, and C. T. Tomizuka, Phys. Rev. 137, A613 (1965).

<sup>7</sup>F. R. Bonanno and C. T. Tomizuka, Phys. Rev. 137, A1264 (1965).

AUG \_ 1972

<sup>8</sup>Y. Adda and J. Philibert, La Diffusion Dans Les Solides

J Appl. Phys., Vol. 43, No. 5, May 1972

(Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1966), p. 837. <sup>9</sup>M. Beyeler and Y. Adda, J. Phys. Radium 29, 345 (1968). <sup>10</sup>Metals Handbook, edited by T. Lyman (American Society for Metals, Metals Park, Ohio, 1961), 8th ed., p. 48. <sup>11</sup>N. H. Nachtrieb, J. A. Weil, E. Catalano, and A. W. Lawson, J. Chem. Phys. 20, 1189 (1952).

<sup>12</sup>N. H. Nachtrieb, H. A. Resing, and S. A. Rice, J. Chem. Phys. 31, 135 (1959).

- <sup>13</sup>J. B. Hudson and R. E. Hoffman, Trans. AIME 221, 761 (1961).
  <sup>14</sup>N. H. Nachtrich and C. Coston, in *Physics of Solids at*

High Pressure, edited by C. T. Tomizuka and R. M.

Emrick (Academic, New York, 1965), p. 336.